UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/786,405	02/26/2004	Moon-Heui Lee	46346	4007
1609 7590 08/22/2008 ROYLANCE, ABRAMS, BERDO & GOODMAN, L.L.P. 1300 19TH STREET, N.W. SUITE 600 WASHINGTON,, DC 20036			EXAMINER	
			LAFORGIA, CHRISTIAN A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2139	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/22/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application Number	10/786,405	Reexamination	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination LEE ET AL.	
	Christian Laforgia	Art Unit		
Document Code - AP.PRE.	DEC			

Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Re	view filed <u>Aug. 7, 2008</u> .
1. Improper Request – The Request is improper a reason(s):	and a conference will not be held for the following
 ☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been filed concu ☐ The request does not include reasons why a r ☐ A proposed amendment is included with the P ☐ Other: . 	eview is appropriate.
The time period for filing a response continues to run the mail date of the last Office communication, if no N	
2. Proceed to Board of Patent Appeals and Inte held. The application remains under appeal because t is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance will brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this de running from the receipt of the notice of appeal, which appeal brief is extendible under 37 CFR 1.136 based of the notice of appeal, as applicable.	here is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant th 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal ecision, or the balance of the two-month time period ever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the
 ☑ The panel has determined the status of the collaim(s) allowed: 3-7. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 8-11. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 	laim(s) is as follows:
3. Allowable application – A conference has been Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits reapplicant at this time.	
4. ☐ Reopen Prosecution – A conference has been action will be mailed. No further action is required by	
All participants:	
(1) <u>Christian Laforgia</u> .	(3) <u>Eddie C. Lee</u> .
(2) <u>Christine Kincaid</u> .	(4)